1	MICHAEL BAILEY United States Attorney		
2	District of Arizona		
3	KEVIN M. RAPP (Ariz. Bar No. 14249)		
4	Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue, Suite 1800		
5			
6	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
9			
10	United States of America,	N. CD 10 00000 DHV DI D (DICE)	
11	Plaintiff,	No. CR-19-00898-PHX-DLR (DMF)	
12	V.	GOVERNMENT'S MOTION FOR A	
13	David Allen Harbour,	PROTECTIVE ORDER	
14	Defendant.		
15	Bolondanu		
16	SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT		
17	The United States of America, by and through the undersigned, respectfully move		
18	for a Protective Order regarding the disclosure of the government's discovery includi		
19			
ユラ	exhibit and witness lists. Despite alerting the defense to the government's objection to		

The United States of America, by and through the undersigned, respectfully moves for a Protective Order regarding the disclosure of the government's discovery including exhibit and witness lists. Despite alerting the defense to the government's objection to the dissemination and use of any documents provided pursuant to the scheduling order, the defense filed the government's witness and exhibit list in an unrelated civil case. Although the documents were filed under seal, third parties have access to the documents, and the government can no longer control the dissemination of these materials. Accordingly, the government now seeks a protective order that limits the dissemination of government disclosures to third parties.

RELEVANT FACTS

On August 7, 2020, the government sent the defense a letter objecting to the dissemination of government disclosures to third parties. (Ex. A). The letter requested that

the defense delay the disclosure of these items so that the government could seek a Protective Order from the Court. (*Id.*) Despite the government's request, the defense filed the exhibit and witness list in an unrelated state civil case. The defense then responded that they filed these documents under seal and that these documents, in their view, were actually beneficial to the government's case. (Ex. B). The government responded with why the government's disclosure cannot be disseminated to third parties and why the filing under seal does not address the government's concerns. (Ex. C). Now, the government seeks a protective order for government disclosures going forward.

ARGUMENT

In light of the defense's position that government disclosures ("Protected Materials") can be disseminated to third parties, the government specifically requests that the Court order that defense counsel and his team be prohibited from duplicating the government's disclosures and distributing them to other persons, including the defendant. Nothing in this motion is deemed to prevent defense counsel from sharing the information contained in the Protected Materials with his client. Rather, defense counsel should not provide the defendant a copy of the materials.

Further, the government requests that defense counsel be required to provide a copy of this Protective Order to members of the defense team, and obtain written consent from members of the defense team of their acknowledgment to be bound by the terms and conditions of this Protective Order, prior to providing the Protected Materials to members of the defense team. The written consent need not be disclosed or produced to the United States unless requested by the Assistant United States Attorney and ordered by the Court. Upon completion of this case, defense counsel shall collect all copies of the Protected Materials from the defense team and return them to the office of the United States Attorney, or otherwise certify that the Protected Materials have been destroyed.

The Order should not limit employees of the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Arizona from copying and distributing the Protected Materials to members of the United States Attorney's Office, federal law enforcement agencies, or to the Court and

1	defense as necessary to comply with the government's discovery obligations.		
2	By disclosing the Protected Materials with the defense, the government does no		
3	concede that the materials are admissible at any proceedings in this matter.		
4	In summary, due to the sensitive nature of the materials, the government respectfully		
5	requests that the court issue a Protective Order with regards to the Protected Materials.		
6	Respectfully submitted this 19th day of August, 2020.		
7 8	MICHAEL BAILEY United States Attorney District of Arizona		
9	s/ Kevin Rapp		
10	KEVIN M. RAPP Assistant U.S. Attorney		
11			
12	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
13	I hereby certify that on this same date, I electronically transmitted the attach document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF system for filing and transmittal of Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:		
14			
15	Alan Baskin		
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 415-494-8887		
17			
18	Email: alan@baskinrichards.com Attorneys for defendant David Allen Harbour s/ Joy Faraj U.S. Attorney's Office		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
- , U			